

EXHIBIT B

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3 Richmond Division

4
5 ePlus, Inc.,

6 Plaintiff,

7 versus

309 CV 620

8 Lawson Software, Inc.

9 Defendant

10
11
12
13 before: HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE
14 Senior United States District Judge

15
16 August 10, 2010
17 Richmond, Virginia

18
19 Phone Conference

20
21
22 Gilbert F. Halasz, RMR
23 Official Court Reporter
24 U. S. Courthouse
25 Richmond, Virginia
 (804) 916-2248

1 the parties would be able to explore further
2 that which had been disclosed in their reports
3 and to allow the experts an opportunity to
4 respond to each other's criticisms and that
5 whatever was in those transcripts would be
6 considered part of the expert disclosures --

7 MR. CARR: Judge --

8 MR. MERRITT: -- and usable at trial.

9 THE COURT: What is the position of
10 Lawson?

11 MR. McDONALD: Your Honor, this is Dan
12 McDonald.

13 My recollection of that -- and there was
14 nothing that ePlus supplied that is
15 inconsistent with my recollection -- is that to
16 streamline the case we stopped the third round
17 of experts. We had two rounds. We had the
18 original report and rebuttal expert, written
19 reports in this case. We didn't have a third
20 round of surrebuttal reports. And the
21 agreement was any surrebuttal would be covered
22 by the depositions as well as any inquires
23 people wanted to go into regarding the original
24 reports that the experts provided. Certainly
25 there is nothing even about what I heard